## United States District Court

for the District of New Jersey

United States of America	)	
v. ANDREW DRECHSEL	) Case No.	20mj1044(AMD)
Defendant	)	

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

Tuto II Timulings of Tuto und Zum as to Tresumptions under 3 of 1.2(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
□(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\Box$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; <b>or</b>
$\Box$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

<b>I B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)</b> (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
$\Box$ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(2) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
<u>-</u>
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
4 of conclusions regarding appreciating of any freeding violation assumption assumption
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
ordered on that basis. (I art in need not be completed.)
And even if the presumption has been rebutted, detention is warranted as set forth on the record.
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Dout III Analysis and Statement of the Descens for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
in addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following.
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

## Case 1:20-mj-01044-AMD Document 21 Filed 09/22/20 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 167

AO 472 (Rev. 11	1/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
	ck of significant community or far	mily ties to this district
	gnificant family or other ties outside	•
-	ck of legal status in the United Sta	
		ter serving any period of incarceration
	ior failure to appear in court as ord	
	ior attempt(s) to evade law enforce	
□ Use	se of alias(es) or false documents	
☐ Bac	ckground information unknown of	r unverified
Prior	ior violations of probation, parole,	or supervised release
OTHER REA	ASONS OR FURTHER EXPLAN	IATION:
the minor occ hide commun	curred in the defendant's home and w	If, the weight of the evidence, the assertions that some of the criminal conduct with when the defendant's partner was in the home, the defendant's alleged attempt to delete conversations, and for other reasons as set forth on the record on 9/22/2020, ands.
	Part I	IV - Directions Regarding Detention
confinemen held in cust defense cou charge of th	nt in a corrections facility separate, tody pending appeal. The defendance. On order of a court of the	he Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being lant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in
		$\Lambda_{A}$
		Ams
Date:	09/22/2020	į ≈

United States Magistrate Judge